

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,070	10/28/2003	Sung Kwon Hong	2060-3-73	5964	
JONATHAN Y	7590 01/17/2007 . KANG, ESO.	EXAMINER			
LEE, HONG, DEGERMAN, KANG & SCHMADEKA 14th Floor 801 S. Figueroa Street Los Angeles, CA 90017-5554			PHU, PHUONG M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	01/17/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		A	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/696,070	HONG, SUNG KWON	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phuong Phu	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE	N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication.	
Status	•		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 De 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 16-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 and 15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 			
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/5/05	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Oath/Declaration

2. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

The oath or declaration is unreadable due to a printing/copying problem.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11-14 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hakkinen et al (7,095,290).
- -Regarding to claim 1, see figures 1 and 3, and col. 2, line 1 to col. 3, line 27, Hakkinen et al discloses a method (see figure 1) comprising:

procedure (72) (see figure 1) of receiving a symbol (71);

procedure (e.g., see the second column of Table 1 shown in figure 3) of determining whether the symbol comprises at least one DTX bit "dtx" during a mapping (1,1,dtx) to (1,-1,0) (see col. 3, lines 19-27);

procedure (mapping (1,1,dtx) to (1,-1,0)) (see figure 3) of mapping the symbol to a predetermined mapping point [I, Q] on an IQ plane (see col. 3, lines 19-27);

procedure (inherently included in mapping (1,1,dtx) to (1,-1,0)) (see figure 3) of minimizing a transmission power level if the symbol has at least one DTX bit (since symbol [dtx, dtx] is mapped to point [0, 0], symbol [0, dtx] to [1, 0], symbol [dxt, 0] to [-1, 0] while symbol [0, 0] to [1, 1], symbol [0, 1] to [1, -1] and symbol [1, 0] to [-1, 1] under the mapping (1,1,dtx) to (1,-1,0)) (see col. 3, lines 19-27); and

procedure (comprising (73, 75, 77, 79)) (see figure 1) of transmitting the symbol in the transmission power level of the mapping point (see col. 2, lines 17-44).

-Regarding to claim 2, Hakkinen et al discloses the mapping point is calculated by averaging signal points to form 8 PSK constellation with constant amplitude of squrt(2), the averaging in which, (see figure 3), bits corresponding to non-DTX bits of the symbol are approximately identical with each other on the IQ plane, (wherein non-DTX symbol [0, 0] is mapped to [1, 1], non-DTX symbol [0, 1] to [1, -1] and non-DTX symbol [1, 0] to [-1, 1] under the mapping (1,1,dtx) to (1,-1,0)) (see figure 3, col. 3, lines 19-27).

-Regarding to claim 3, Hakkinen et al discloses that the mapping point is set in consideration of at least one of a number of the non-DTX bits, a number of the selected signal points, and locations of the selected signal points on the IQ plane (see figure 3).

-Regarding to claim 4, Hakkinen et al discloses that the symbol is mapped to an origin of the IQ plane, when at least one bit of the symbol is a DTX bit, (see figure 3, under mapping (1,1,dtx) to (1,-1,0), symbol [dtx, dtx] is mapped to the original point [0, 0]).

-Regarding to claim 6, Hakkinen et al discloses that the mapping point is set in consideration of plus and minus symbols of the signal points on the IQ plane, (see figure 3, non-DTX symbols [1, 0] and [0, 1] are mapped to plus and minus symbols [-1, 1] and [1, -1], respectively, under mapping (1,1,dtx) to (1,-1,0)).

- -Claim 7 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 3.
- -Claim 8 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 4.
- -Claim 9 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 2.
- -Regarding to claim 11, as similarly applied to claims 1-4 and 6-9 set forth above and herein incorporated, Hakkinen et al discloses a system comprising:

means (72) (see figure 1) for receiving a symbol (71);

means (e.g., see the second column of Table 1 shown in figure 3) for determining whether the symbol comprises at least one DTX bit during a mapping (1,1,dtx) to (1,-1,0) (see col. 3, lines 19-27);

means (mapping (1,1,dtx) to (1,-1,0)) (see figure 3) for mapping the symbol to a predetermined mapping point on an IQ plane (see col. 3, lines 19-27);

means (inherently included in mapping (1,1,dtx) to (1,-1,0)) (see figure 3) for minimizing a transmission power level if the symbol has at least one DTX bit; and

means (comprising (73, 75, 77, 79)) (see figure 1) for transmitting the symbol in the transmission power level of the mapping point (see col. 2, lines 17-44).

- -Claim 12 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 2.
- -Claim 13 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 3.
- -Claim 14 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 4.
- -Claim 16 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 6.
- -Claim 17 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claims 3, 7.
- -Claim 18 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 4.
- -Claim 19 is rejected with similar reasons set forth for claim 2, 9.
- 5. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Willengger (6,996,069).
- -Regarding to claim 10, see figure 2A, and col. 4, lines 9-66, Willengger discloses a system (see figure 2A) comprising:
- a transport channel (TrCH) multiplexer (232) for multiplexing radio frames from a plurality of transport channels into a composite transport channel (CCTrCH);
- a discontinuous transmission (DTX) insertion module (234) for inserting DTX bits into the radio frames of the CCTrCH;
- a physical channel segmentation module(236) for segmenting the CCTrCH for different physical channels (PhCHs) to produce a plurality of segments;
 - an interleaver (238) for interleaving the segments; and
- a physical channel mapping module (240) for mapping the segments to the corresponding PhCHs.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 5 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong Phu whose telephone number is 571-272-3009. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 AM - 4:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Phuong Phu 12/22/06

Phungphu

PHUONG PHU
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Phuong Phu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2611